

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|---|-------------|----------------------|----------------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/526,423 | 03/01/2005 | Martin Landwehr | 6400-0042WOUS | 3272 | |
| 49698 7590 08/09/2007 MICHAUD-DUFFY GROUP LLP 306 INDUSTRIAL PARK ROAD SUITE 206 MIDDLETOWN, CT 06457 | | EXAMINER | | | |
| | | | BARRETT, SUZANNE LALE DINO | | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | , | | 3676 | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| | • | | 08/09/2007 | PAPER | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|----------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/526,423 | LANDWEHR, MARTIN | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | |
| Suzanne Dino Barrett | 3676 | |

| | Suzanne Dino Barrett | 3676 | | | |
|--|---|---|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the o | correspondence add | ress | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 23 July 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP | | | | | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods: | the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff stice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o | Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C | nce, which FR 41.31; or (3) | | |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date | of the final rejection. | | | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is late no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN | | | | | |
| TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 | 06.07(f). | | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) | tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da | of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi | iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as | | |
| NOTICE OF APPEAL | | | | | |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of th | | | |
| AMENDMENTS | | 91 11- | | | |
| The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, They raise new issues that would require further co They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) | nsideration and/or search (see NO | | ecause | | |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or | tter form for appeal by materially re | ducing or simplifying | the issues for | | |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: | corresponding number of finally rej | ected claims. | | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.10 | 21 See attached Natice of Nan Co | mpliant Amendment | (PTOL 324) | | |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) | | impliant Amendment | ,F10L-324 <i>).</i> | | |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). | | timely filed amendme | ent canceling the | | |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | ☐ will not be entered, or b) ☐ will vided below or appended. | ll be entered and an e | explanation of | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: | | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | t before or on the date of filing a North date of the | otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> it or other evidence is | t be entered necessary and | | |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar | overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea y and was not earlier presented. S | al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(′ | ls to provide a l). | | |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | n of the status of the claims after e | ntry is below or attach | ied. | | |
| The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>Applicant's arguments are not persuasive.</u> | t does NOT place the application in | n condition for allowar | nce because: | | |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). | (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) | | 1/ | | |
| 13. Other: | | AMS | # | | |
| | | Guzanne Dino Bar Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3676 | ett | | |